

1 TERRY T. JOHNSON, State Bar No. 121569 (tjohnson@wsgr.com)
2 BORIS FELDMAN, State Bar No. 128838 (boris.feldman@wsgr.com)
3 CHERYL W. FOUNG, State Bar No. 108868 (cfoung@wsgr.com)
4 BRIAN P. THOMAS, State Bar No. 223706 (bthomas@wsgr.com)
5 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
6 650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
tjohnson@wsgr.com

7 Attorneys for Defendants
8 UTSTARCOM, INC., HONG LIANG LU,
9 MICHAEL J. SOPHIE, HOWARD KWOCK
GERALD S. SOLOWAY, SHAO-NING J. CHOU,
WILLIAM H. HUANG, YING WU, AND
THOMAS J. TOY



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

1 WHEREAS, the Court on March 20, 2007, ordered plaintiffs to file a Third Amended
2 Complaint;

3 WHEREAS, plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Complaint on May 25, 2007, which is
4 lengthier than the predecessor complaint, and which raises new issues;

5 WHEREAS, Civil L.R. 7-2(b) and Civil L.R. 7-3(a) and (c) provide for page limits that
6 are not sufficient for the contemplated Motion to Dismiss and supporting memorandum that
7 defendants UTStarcom, Inc., Hong Liang Lu, Michael J. Sophie, Howard Kwock, Gerald S.
8 Soloway, Shao-Ning J. Chou, William H. Huang, Ying Wu, and Thomas Toy (collectively,
9 "Defendants") anticipate filing on July 13, 2007, and that plaintiffs anticipate opposing, in that
10 the parties desire to address the numerous allegations in a comprehensive and thorough fashion;

11 WHEREAS, on June 1, 2006, the Court previously granted a similar proposed stipulation
12 allowing expanded page limits regarding defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended
13 Complaint, consisting of 45 pages for the opening and opposing briefs and 25 pages for the reply;

14 WHEREAS, defendants believe that they need no more than 50 pages for their Motion to
15 Dismiss and supporting memorandum;

16 WHEREAS, plaintiffs believe they need no more than 50 pages for their Opposition to
17 defendants' Motion to Dismiss Brief; and

18 WHEREAS, defendants believe that they need no more than 25 pages for their Reply
19 Brief.

20 THEREFORE, the parties hereby STIPULATE and AGREE as follows:

21 1. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Reply Brief will not exceed 50 and 25 pages,
22 respectively, exclusive of the caption page, the table of contents, table of authorities, declarations,
23 and exhibits.

24 2. Plaintiffs' Opposition to defendants' Motion to Dismiss Brief will not exceed 50
25 pages, exclusive of the caption page, the table of contents, table of authorities, declarations, and
26 exhibits.

27

28

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 Dated: July 6, 2007

3
4 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
5 Professional Corporation
6

7 By: /s/ Cheryl Foung
8 Cheryl Foung
9

10
11 Attorneys for Defendants
12 UTSTARCOM, INC., HONG LIANG LU,
13 MICHAEL J. SOPHIE, HOWARD KWOCK
14 GERALD S. SOLOWAY, SHAO-NING J. CHOU,
15 WILLIAM H. HUANG, YING WU, AND
16 THOMAS J. TOY

17 Dated: July 6, 2007

18 LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER
19 RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP
20

21 By: /s/ Shirley H. Huang
22 SHIRLEY H. HUANG
23

24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
25

26 I, Terry T. Johnson, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to
27 file this Stipulation to Expand Page Limits and [Proposed] Order. I hereby attest that Cheryl W.
28 Foung and Shirley Huang concur in this filing.

19 Dated: July 6, 2007

20 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
21 Professional Corporation
22

23 By: /s/ TERRY T. JOHNSON
24 TERRY T. JOHNSON
25

26 Attorneys for Defendants UTStarcom Inc.,
27 Hong Liang Lu, Michael J. Sophie, Howard
28 Kwock, Gerald S. Soloway, Shao-Ning J.
Chou, William H. Huang, Ying Wu, and
Thomas Toy

ORDER

PURSUANT TO THIS STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS SO
ORDERED.

Dated: July 9, 2007

The Honorable James Ware
United States District Court Judge